

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
JEFF YOUNG	07844/292001	6131	_
	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	2176		
		JEFF YOUNG 07844/292001 EXAM BASHORE, V	JEFF YOUNG 07844/292001 6131 EXAMINER BASHORE, WILLIAM L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	Advisory Action	09/266,680	YOUNG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
		William L. Bashore	2176		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 29 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THe fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in	
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:			
(a	they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	below);			
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the	
(d	they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):			
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment	
5.🖂	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request fo application in condition for allowance because: \underline{Se}		sidered but does NO	OT place the	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed:		,		
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: 14-18, 20-30, 32-40				

SUPERVISORY PATERS EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/266,680

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Applicant's proposed amendment regarding identifying an element common to both sources, but has a different name in the first source than in the second source, reflects a change in scope of the claimed invention when interpreted as a whole, therefore, said proposed amendment would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to new issues as presented above.